S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.5383/06

Date : 05.12.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Pradeep Shah for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that from last 5½ years the complainant is living with her parents. He submits that after filing of petition under Section 12 of the Hindu Marriage Act, this false complaint has been lodged against the applicant.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

I have considered the submissions made before me and carefully gone through the material available on record.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I deem it just and proper to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant Hans Raj s/o Durga Das by I.O./S.H.O. in FIR No.305/2006 P.S. Kotwali, Barmer, he shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- alongwith two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-

(i)That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii)That he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

(iii)That he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij